I			
1	MARK L. MOSLEY, SBN 136449		
2	BRIAN GEARINGER, SBN 146125 STEPHEN F. HENRY, SBN 142336 MOSLEY & GEARINGER LLP 825 Van Ness Avenue, Fourth Floor		
3			
4	San Francisco, California 94109-7837 Telephone: (415) 440-3102		
5	Facsimile: (415) 440-3103		
6	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF		
7	JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney, SB #129729 RANDOLPH W. HALL, Chief Asst. City Attorney,	SB #080142	
8	RACHEL WAGNER, Supervising Trial Attorney, SB #127246 INÉS VARGAS FRAENKEL, Deputy City Attorney, SB #108113		
9	One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, California 94612		
10	Telephone: (510) 238-6512 Facsimile: (510) 238-6500		
11	Email: ivfraenkel@oaklandcityattorney.org 25255:404893		
12	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS		
13	CITY OF OAKLAND, TUCKER and POWELI	_	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16			
17	ELIZABETH CLARK,	Case No.: C06-06872 (CRB)	
18		,	
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND ADR DEADLINE; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
20	vs.	DEADLINE, [I KOI OSED] OKDEK	
21	THE CITY OF OAKLAND, a California public entity, CHIEF WAYNE G. TUCKER,		
22	in his individual and official capacities, OFFICER MITCH POWELL, in his individual)		
23	and official capacities and DOES ONE		
24	through FIFTY, inclusive,		
	Defendants.		
25	It is houghly CTIDIU ATED by and between	on the neutice through their respective etternesses	
26	It is hereby STIPULATED by and between the parties, through their respective attorneys		
27	of record and pending Order of this Court, that the date by which Alternative Dispute		
28	Resolution (ADR) process may be completed in this case, be extended through June 4, 2007.		
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND ADR DEADLINE; [PROPOSED] ORDER	1 C06-06872 (CRB)	
	1		

1	Good cause exists for this extension as despite best efforts, the parties, their attorneys	
2	and the assigned Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) evaluator Larry Currey, have been unable to	
3	schedule a session by May 1, 2007, the deadline set by the Court it its Order of January 31,	
4	2007 (Document No. 11). At this time, the date of June 1, 2007 has been agreed to by all	
5	parties and the Evaluator for the ENE session, and it is expected that the session will be	
6	completed that day.	
7	Dated: May 7, 2007	MOSLEY & GEARINGER
8		STEPHEN F. HENRY, ESQ.
9	By:	/s/ Stephen Henry
10	By.	Stephen F. Henry
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff
12		
13	Dated: May 7, 2007	OAKLAND CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
14		
15	By:	/s/ Inés Vargas Fraenkel Inés Vargas Fraenkel
16		Attorneys for Defendants
17		
18		<u>ORDER</u>
19	Pursuant to stipulation of the parties and agreement of the ENE Evaluator, and good	
20	cause appearing therefore, it is hereby ordered that the ADR deadline in this case is extended	
21	through June 4, 2007.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		TES DISTRICT
24	Dated:May 9, 2007	CHARLES BY BREYER
25		U.S. Northern District C IT IS SO ORDERED
26		
27		Judge Charles R. Breyer
28		
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND ADR DEADLINE; [PROPOSED] ORDER	2 DISTRICT 06-96872 (CRB)